

**HOR 2014**  
**7th International Workshop on**  
**Higher-Order Rewriting**

**8.45–10.15: Session I – Calculi**

Chair: Cynthia Kop

**8.45: Vincent van Oostrom (Utrecht Univ.), Femke van Raamsdonk (VU Univ., Amsterdam)**  
***The dynamic pattern calculus as a higher-order pattern rewriting system***

We show that Jay and Kesners dynamic pattern calculus can be embedded into a higher-order pattern rewriting systems in the sense of Nipkow. Metatheoretical results, such as confluence and standardisation, are obtained for the dynamic pattern calculus as a consequence of this embedding. The embedding also opens a way to study the semantics of Jays programming language *bondi* based on pattern matching.

**9.15: Beniamino Accattoli (Univ. di Bologna)**  
***Distilling Abstract Machines***  
**(joint work with Pablo Barenbaum and Damiano Mazza)**

It is well-known that many environment-based abstract machines can be seen as strategies in lambda calculi with explicit substitutions (ES). Recently, graphical syntaxes and linear logic led to the linear substitution calculus (LSC), a new approach to ES that is halfway between big-step calculi and traditional calculi with ES. This paper studies the relationship between the LSC and environment-based abstract machines. While traditional calculi with ES simulate abstract machines, the LSC rather distills them: some transitions are simulated while others vanish, as they map to a notion of structural congruence. The distillation process unveils that abstract machines in fact implement weak linear head reduction, a notion of evaluation having a central role in the theory of linear logic. We show that such a pattern applies uniformly in call-by-name, call-by-value, and call-by-need, catching many machines in the literature (as the KAM, the CEK, the ZINC, *etc.*), we show that distillation preserves the time complexity of the executions, *i.e.*, the LSC is a complexity-preserving abstraction of abstract machines.

**9.45: Kristoffer Rose (Two Sigma, New York)**  
***Experience with Higher Order Rewriting from the Compiler Teaching Trenches***

I have now twice used the “HACS” compiler generator tool in a New York Univ. graduate Compiler Construction class. HACS is based on a higher-order rewriting formalism, thus I have effectively been teaching students higher order rewriting techniques as the way to implement compilers. In this talk I report on how HACS matches specific rewriting notions to the techniques used by compiler writers, and where the main difficulties have been encountered in teaching these.

10.15–10.45: *Coffee Break*

## 10.45–12.00: Session II – Foundations

Chair: Beniamino Accatoli

**10.45: Cynthia Kop (Univ. of Innsbruck)**

### *The Higher-order Dependency Pair Framework*

In recent years, two different dependency pair approaches have been introduced: the *dynamic* and *static* styles. The static style is based on a computability argument, and is limited to *plain function-passing* systems. The dynamic style has no limitations, but standard techniques to simplify sets of dependency pairs – such as the subterm criterion and usable rules – are not applicable. The basic *reduction pair* technique is also significantly weaker than in the static case. On the other hand, when the system is *left-linear* and *left-abstraction-free*, we can significantly improve the dynamic approach. In this talk, I will discuss how to combine the dynamic and static styles in a single dependency pair *framework*, extending the various notions from the first-order dependency pair framework.

**11.15: Vincent van Oostrom (Utrecht Univ.)**

### *Feebly not weakly*

Some rewrite systems are not orthogonal, in that they do have critical peaks, but are very close to being orthogonal, in that for any given object there exists a partial function, called *orthogonalisation*, mapping the set of all redexes to an orthogonal subset and every multi-step to an equivalent one. Term rewrite systems having only trivial peaks, so-called weakly orthogonal systems with the  $\lambda\beta\eta$ -calculus as prime example, are known to admit such an orthogonalisation. Here we characterise the term rewrite systems that admit orthogonalisation as those whose critical peaks are *feeble*, in that at least two out of the three terms in such a peak must be identical (generalising weak orthogonality).

**11.45: Kristoffer Rose (Two Sigma)**

### *Report from the HOR 2014 Chair & Discussion*

## 12.00–13.00: Joint HOR and WIR Invited Talk

Chairs: Kristoffer Rose and Hans Zantema

**12.00: Damiano Mazza (Univ. Paris 13)**

### *On Infinitary Affine Lambda-Calculi*

We summarize recent work based on affine lambda-calculi which brings together some aspects of infinitary and higher-order rewriting. In particular, we discuss three points: the relationship with the (infinitary) lambda-calculus; the categorical perspective, which gives a way of building models of linear logic; and the applications to implicit computational complexity, in particular the possibility of representing non-uniform polytime computation in a functional, higher-order setting.