EasyChair Preprint

№ 9419

Deep on Goldbach's Conjecture

Frank Vega

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid dissemination of research results and are integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

September 7, 2023

Deep on Goldbach's conjecture

Frank Vega[1*]

[1*]Research Department, NataSquad, 10 rue de la Paix, Paris, 75002, France.

Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): vega.frank@gmail.com;

Abstract

Goldbach's conjecture is one of the most difficult unsolved problems in mathematics. This states that every even natural number greater than 2 is the sum of two prime numbers. The Goldbach's conjecture has been verified for every even number $\boldsymbol{N \leq 4 \cdot 10^{18}}$. In this note, we prove that for every even number $\boldsymbol{N \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}}$, if there is a prime $\boldsymbol{p}$ and a natural number $\boldsymbol{m}$ such that $\boldsymbol{n < p < N - 1}$, $\boldsymbol{p + m = N}$, $\boldsymbol{\frac{N}{\sigma(m)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{m-1}{2} \geq n}$ and $\boldsymbol{p}$ is coprime with $\boldsymbol{m}$, then $\boldsymbol{m}$ is necessarily a prime number when $\boldsymbol{N = 2 \cdot n}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma(m)}$ is the sum-of-divisors function of $\boldsymbol{m}$. The previous inequality $\boldsymbol{\frac{N}{\sigma(m)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{m-1}{2} \geq n}$ holds whenever $\boldsymbol{\frac{N}{e^{\gamma} \cdot m \cdot \log \log m} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{m-1}{2} \geq n}$ also holds and $\boldsymbol{m \geq 11}$ is an odd number, where $\boldsymbol{\gamma \approx 0.57721}$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant and $\boldsymbol{\log}$ is the natural logarithm. This implies that the Goldbach's conjecture is true when the Riemann hypothesis is true.

Keywords: Goldbach's conjecture, Prime numbers, Sum-of-divisors function, Euler's totient function

MSC Classification: 11A41 , 11A25

1 Introduction

As usual $\sigma(n)$ is the sum-of-divisors function of n

$$\sum_{d|n} d,$$

where $d \mid n$ means the integer d divides n. Define $s(n)$ as $\frac{\sigma(n)}{n}$. In number theory, the *p-adic* order of an integer n is the exponent of the highest power of the prime number p that divides n. It is denoted $\nu_p(n)$. Equivalently, $\nu_p(n)$ is the exponent to which p appears in the prime factorization of n. We can state the sum-of-divisors function of n as

$$\sigma(n) = \prod_{p|n} \frac{p^{\nu_p(n)+1} - 1}{p - 1}$$

with the product extending over all prime numbers p which divide n. In addition, the well-known Euler's totient function $\varphi(n)$ can be formulated as

$$\varphi(n) = n \cdot \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

The Goldbach's conjecture has been verified for every even number $N \leq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$ [1]. In mathematics, two integers a and b are coprime, if the only positive integer that is a divisor of both of them is 1. Putting all together yields the proof of the main theorem.

Theorem 1 *For every even number $N \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$, if there is a prime p and a natural number m such that $n < p < N - 1$, $p + m = N$, $\frac{N}{\sigma(m)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{m-1}{2} \geq n$ and p is coprime with m, then m is necessarily a prime number when $N = 2 \cdot n$. The previous inequality $\frac{N}{\sigma(m)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{m-1}{2} \geq n$ holds whenever $\frac{N}{e^{\gamma} \cdot m \cdot \log\log m} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{m-1}{2} \geq n$ also holds and $m \geq 11$ is an odd number, where $\gamma \approx 0.57721$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant and* log *is the natural logarithm. This implies that the Goldbach's conjecture is true when the Riemann hypothesis is true.*

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Proof Suppose that there is an even number $N \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$ which is not a sum of two distinct prime numbers. We consider all the pairs of positive integers $(n - k, n + k)$ where $n = \frac{N}{2}$, $k < n - 1$ is a natural number, $n + k$ and $n - k$ are coprime integers and $n + k$ is prime. By definition of the functions $\sigma(x)$ and $\varphi(x)$, we know that

$$2 \cdot N = \sigma((n - k) \cdot (n + k)) - \varphi((n - k) \cdot (n + k))$$

when $n - k$ is also prime. We notice that

$$2 \cdot N < \sigma((n - k) \cdot (n + k)) - \varphi((n - k) \cdot (n + k))$$

when $n - k$ is not a prime. Certainly, we see that $(n - k) + (n + k) = N$ and thus, the inequality

$$2 \cdot ((n - k) + (n + k)) + \varphi((n - k) \cdot (n + k)) < \sigma((n - k) \cdot (n + k))$$

holds when $n - k$ is not a prime. That is equivalent to

$$2 \cdot ((n - k) + (n + k)) + \varphi(n - k) \cdot \varphi(n + k) < \sigma(n - k) \cdot \sigma(n + k)$$

since the functions $\sigma(x)$ and $\varphi(x)$ are multiplicative. Let's divide both sides by $(n-k)\cdot(n+k)$ to obtain that

$$2\cdot\left(\frac{(n-k)+(n+k)}{(n-k)\cdot(n+k)}\right)+\frac{\varphi(n-k)}{n-k}\cdot\frac{\varphi(n+k)}{n+k}<s(n-k)\cdot s(n+k).$$

We know that

$$s(n-k)\cdot s(n+k)>1$$

since $s(m)>1$ for every natural number $m>1$ [2]. Moreover, we could see that

$$2\cdot\left(\frac{(n-k)+(n+k)}{(n-k)\cdot(n+k)}\right)=\frac{2}{n+k}+\frac{2}{n-k}$$

and therefore,

$$1>\frac{2}{n+k}+\frac{2}{n-k}+\frac{\varphi(n-k)}{n-k}\cdot\frac{\varphi(n+k)}{n+k}.$$

It is enough to see that

$$1>\frac{2}{2\cdot 10^{18}}+\frac{2}{9}+\frac{2}{3}\geq\frac{2}{n+k}+\frac{2}{n-k}+\frac{\varphi(n-k)}{n-k}\cdot\frac{\varphi(n+k)}{n+k}$$

when $n+k$ is prime and $n-k$ is composite for $N\geq 4\cdot 10^{18}$. Indeed, when $n+k$ is prime and $n-k$ is composite, then $n+k>2\cdot 10^{18}$ and $n-k\geq 9$ for $N\geq 4\cdot 10^{18}$. Under our assumption, all these pairs of positive integers $(n-k,n+k)$ imply that

$$2\cdot N<\sigma((n-k)\cdot(n+k))-\varphi((n-k)\cdot(n+k))$$

holds whenever $n=\frac{N}{2}$, $k<n-1$ is a natural number, $n+k$ and $n-k$ are coprime integers and $n+k$ is prime. Hence, we have

$$N<\frac{1}{2}\cdot\left(\sigma(n-k)\cdot\sigma(n+k)-\varphi(n-k)\cdot\varphi(n+k)\right).$$

Since $n+k$ is prime, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\varphi(n+k)}{1+n^{0.889}} &= \frac{n+k-1}{1+n^{0.889}}\\
&\geq \frac{n}{1+n^{0.889}}\\
&\geq 2\cdot\left(e^{\gamma}\cdot\log\log(n-1)+\frac{2.5}{\log\log(n-1)}\right)^2\\
&\geq 2\cdot\left(e^{\gamma}\cdot\log\log(n-k)+\frac{2.5}{\log\log(n-k)}\right)^2\\
&> 2\cdot\left(\frac{n-k}{\varphi(n-k)}\right)^2\\
&= \frac{n-k}{\varphi(n-k)}\cdot 2\cdot\prod_{q\mid(n-k)}\left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right)\\
&> s(n-k)\cdot 2\cdot\prod_{q\mid(n-k)}\left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right)\\
&= \frac{2\cdot\sigma(n-k)}{(n-k)\cdot\prod_{q\mid(n-k)}\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)}\\
&= \frac{2\cdot\sigma(n-k)}{\varphi(n-k)}
\end{aligned}$$

when we know that $\frac{b}{\varphi(b)} < e^{\gamma} \cdot \log\log(b) + \frac{2.5}{\log\log(b)}$ holds for every odd number $b \geq 3$ [3]. Moreover, we have

$$\frac{n}{1+n^{0.889}} \geq 2 \cdot \left(e^{\gamma} \cdot \log\log(n-1) + \frac{2.5}{\log\log(n-1)}\right)^2$$

for every natural number $n \geq 2 \cdot 10^{18}$ under the supposition that $N \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$. Certainly, the function

$$f(x) = \frac{x}{1+x^{0.889}} - 2 \cdot \left(e^{\gamma} \cdot \log\log(x-1) + \frac{2.5}{\log\log(x-1)}\right)^2$$

is strictly increasing and positive for every real number $x \geq 2 \cdot 10^{18}$ because of its derivative is greater than 0 for all $x \geq 2 \cdot 10^{18}$ and it is positive in the value of $2 \cdot 10^{18}$. Furthermore, it is known that $\prod_{q \mid b} \left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right) = \frac{b}{\varphi(b)} > s(b) = \frac{\sigma(b)}{b}$ for every natural number $b \geq 2$ [2]. Finally, we would have that

$$-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \varphi(n-k) \cdot \varphi(n+k) < -\sigma(n-k) \cdot (1+n^{0.889})$$

and so,

$$N < \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sigma(n-k) \cdot \sigma(n+k) - \sigma(n-k) \cdot (1+n^{0.889}).$$

We would have

$$\frac{N}{\sigma(n-k)} + n^{0.889} + 1 < \frac{\sigma(n+k)}{2}$$

which is

$$\frac{N}{\sigma(n-k)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{n-k-1}{2} < n.$$

In this way, we obtain a contradiction when we assume that $\frac{N}{\sigma(n-k)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{n-k-1}{2} \geq n$. By reductio ad absurdum, the natural number $n-k$ is necessarily prime when $\frac{N}{\sigma(n-k)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{n-k-1}{2} \geq n$. Moreover, we know that $\sigma(b) < e^{\gamma} \cdot b \cdot \log\log b$ holds for every odd number $b \geq 11$ [2]. Consequently, the inequality $\frac{N}{\sigma(n-k)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{n-k-1}{2} \geq n$ holds whenever $\frac{N}{e^{\gamma} \cdot (n-k) \cdot \log\log(n-k)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{n-k-1}{2} \geq n$ also holds and $(n-k) \geq 11$ is an odd number. In 2014, Dudek proved that the Riemann hypothesis implies that for all $x \geq 2$ there is a prime p satisfying [4]

$$x - \frac{4}{\pi}\sqrt{x}\log x < p \leq x.$$

In this way, there is always a prime $n+k$ for some integer $k \gtrapprox \sqrt{n} \cdot \log^2 n$. Finally, we obtain that the inequality $\frac{2 \cdot n}{e^{\gamma} \cdot (n-k) \cdot \log\log(n-k)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{n-k-1}{2} \geq n$ holds for all positive integers $n \geq 2 \cdot 10^{18}$ and some integer $k \gtrapprox \sqrt{n} \cdot \log^2 n$ since the function $H(x) = \frac{x}{(x-\sqrt{x} \cdot \log^2 x) \cdot \log\log(x-\sqrt{x} \cdot \log^2 x)} + x^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{x-\sqrt{x} \cdot \log^2 x - 1}{2} - x$ is positive for all $x \geq 2 \cdot 10^{18}$ (See Figure 1). □

References

[1] T.O. Silva. Goldbach conjecture verification. http://sweet.ua.pt/tos/goldbach.html. Accessed 27 December 2022



Fig. 1 Root plot of function $H(x)$ [5]

[2] Y. Choie, N. Lichiardopol, P. Moree, P. Solé, On Robin's criterion for the Riemann hypothesis. Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux **19**(2), 357–372 (2007). https://doi.org/10.5802/jtnb.591

[3] J.B. Rosser, L. Schoenfeld, Approximate Formulas for Some Functions of Prime Numbers. Illinois Journal of Mathematics **6**(1), 64–94 (1962). https://doi.org/10.1215/ijm/1255631807

[4] A.W. Dudek, On the Riemann hypothesis and the difference between primes. International Journal of Number Theory **11**(03), 771–778 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793042115500426

[5] Equation Solver - Wolfram Alpha. Root plot of function *H(x)*. https://www.wolframalpha.com/input?i2d=true&i=%5Cfrac%5C%28123%29x%5C%28125%29%5C%28123%29%5C%2840%29x-+%5Csqrt%5C%28123%29x%5C%28125%29+%5Ccdot+Power%5B%5C%2840%29%5Clog+x%5C%2841%29%2C2%5D%5C%2841%29+%5Ccdot+%5Clog+%5Clog+%5C%2840%29x-%5Csqrt%5C%28123%29x%5C%28125%29+%5Ccdot+Power%5B%5C%2840%29%5Clog+x%5C%2841%29%2C2%5D%5C%2841%29%5C%28125%29+%2B+Power%5Bx%2C0.889%5D+%2B+1+%2B+%5Cfrac%5C%28123%29x-%5Csqrt%5C%28123%29x%5C%28125%29+%5Ccdot+Power%5B%5C%2840%29%5Clog+x%5C%2841%29%2C2%5D+-+1%5C%28125%29%5C%28123%292%5C%28125%29+-+x%3D0. Accessed 22 August 2023